IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH

AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTITHIRD DAY OF JUNE

TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

P R E S E N T

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.21886 OF 2000

Between

T.Krishna Chandra, s/o. Kasinatham, age 33 years,

R/o. Gurbabadi Road, Nizamabad, Nizamabad district**. .....** PETITIONER

A N D

1. The District Collector, Nizamabad, Nizamabad district.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nizamabad, Nizamabad district.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Morthad, Nizamabad district.

…... RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in demanding payment of second year amount of Rs.4,81,500=00 to enter into agreement for quarrying for sand in palem village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad district, as arbitrary, illegal, unjust and unconstitutional and violative of Rule 9 of A.P.M.M.C. Rules, 1966 and consequently direct the respondents to follow the rules enter into agreement with the petitioner for quarrying sand in palem village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad district and pass such other order or orders as are deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MRS. N. SHOBA

Counsel for the Respondents: Govt.Pleader for Revenue

The Court at made the following : { Order follows 2nd page)

O R D E R

When the writ petition is listed today under the caption for final hearing, it is represented by Smt.N.Shoba, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the cause in this writ petition does not survives and the same be dismissed.

Recording the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed, as infructuous necessary. No costs.

JUNE 23rd, 2005. ----------

I S L. **ELIPE DHARMA RAO, J**.